

1 2 3 4	MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP Michael F. Ram (SBN 104805) mram@forthepeople.com 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94102	
5	Telephone: (415) 358-6913 Facsimile: (415) 358-6293	
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	MEDIA CHAIN, LLC, Plaintiff, v. ROKU, INC., Defendant.	Case No. 3:21-cv-09528-EMC NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF; [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW The Honorable Judge Edward M. Chen
28		
	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF CASE NO. 3:21-CV-09528-EM	

MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

UNOPPOSED MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. AND THE BRICKELL IP GROUP, PLLC'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF; [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW

The undersigned counsel, William B. Lewis, Esq., Arletys Hernandez, Esq., Michael Ram, Esq., Arthur Robert Weaver, Esq. and the law firms of Morgan & Morgan, P.A. and the Brickell IP Group, PLLC (collectively, "Counsel")¹, pursuant to Local Rule 11-5(a), respectfully move to withdraw as counsel of record and counsel *pro hac vice* for Plaintiff, MEDIA CHAIN, LLC ("Plaintiff"), and in support thereof state as follows:

- Irreconcilable differences have arisen between Counsel and Plaintiff that require
 Counsel to withdraw their representation of Plaintiff in this matter pursuant to the Rules
 Regulating the Florida Bar and Rule 3-700 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct.
- 2. Counsel certifies that the undersigned has advised corporate Plaintiff that federal law requires that a corporation cannot appear *pro se* and must be represented by counsel. Plaintiff is actively seeking alternative representation.
- 3. This action was just transferred to this division from the Western District of Texas on December 7, 2021. The only pending deadline for the parties is on March 8, 2022, requiring the parties file a Case Management Report in advance of a March 15, 2022, Case Management Conference. Counsel's withdrawal will not prejudice the parties and will allow Plaintiff ample time to seek alternate representation.
- 4. Counsel notified Plaintiff of their withdrawal in writing on January 7, 2022. Plaintiff consents to Counsel's withdrawal. Counsel requests that any and all documents be

¹ This mater was initiated in the Western District of Texas on January 8, 2021.[DE 1]. Arletys Hernandez, William B. Lewis, and Arthur Robert Weaver were admitted *pro hac vice* on Plaintiff's behalf. [DE 39-40; 45.] Pursuant to Defendant's Motion to Transfer, this matter was transferred to this Court on December 7, 2021. [DE 65.] Local counsel, Michael Ram, appeared in this matter on December 14, 2021. As of the date of this Motion, Ms. Hernandez and Messrs. Lewis and Weaver were in the process of appearing *pro had vice* in this division.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27

28

forwarded to the attention of Media Chain, LLC, c/o Chris Estes, email: chris@mediachainllc.com.

- 5. Counsel, on behalf of Plaintiff, request that the Court grant Plaintiff forty-five (45) days from an order granting this Motion, to obtain new counsel.
 - Defendant does not oppose this motion. 6.
- 7. Given the insubstantial nature of this Motion, as well as the substantial burden to all parties in travelling and attending a hearing on this Motion, Counsel respectfully requests that the Court waive oral argument.
- 8. This motion is not filed for purpose of, and the relief sought will not result in, undue delay or prejudice to any party.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated, Counsel respectfully requests that the Court enter an order discharging Counsel, William B. Lewis, Esq., Arletys Hernandez, Esq., Michael Ram, Esq., Arthur Robert Weaver, Esq. and the law firms of Morgan & Morgan, P.A. and the Brickell IP Group, PLLC as attorney of record for Plaintiff, Media Chain, LLC.

Dated: January 10, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

By: /s/ Michael Ram Michael Ram

Michael F. Ram (CA Bar No. 104805) MORGAN and MORGAN COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 941023 Telephone: (415) 358-6913 Facsimile: (415) 358-6923 Mram@forthepeople.com

1 And, 2 The Brickell IP Group, PLLC 3 By: /s/Arthur Robert Weaver_ Arthur Robert Weaver, Esq. 4 Florida Bar No. 92132 5 1101 Brickell Avenue, Suite 800 Miami, FL 33131 6 Telephone: (305) 710-4557 Facsimile: (305) 428-2450 7 Rweaver@brickellip.com 8 9 10 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on January 10, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with 12 the Clerk of the Court and served it on Plaintff, Media Chain, LLC c/o Chris Estes and on all 13 counsel of record using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to all 14 counsel of record. 15 16 17 By: /s/ Michael Ram 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF CASE NO. 3:21-CV-09528-EMC

1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 MEDIA CHAIN, LLC, 5 Plaintiff, 6 Case No. 1:21-cv-009528-AGT v. 7 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 8 ROKU, INC., MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF 9 Defendant. 10 11 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW 12 AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF 13 William B. Lewis, Esq., Arletys Hernandez, Esq., Michael Ram, Esq., Arthur Robert 14 Weaver, Esq. and the law firms of Morgan & Morgan, P.A. and the Brickell IP Group, PLLC 15 (collectively, "Counsel"), seek to withdraw as counsel and counsel pro hac vice for Plaintiff in the 16 17 above-captioned litigation pursuant to Local R. 11-5(a) and Cal. R. Prof. conduct 3-700. As this 18 Court finds that Counsel has submitted satisfactory reasoning for withdrawal, and that the granting 19 of his Motion will not cause substantial prejudice or delay to any party. 20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that William B. Lewis, Esq., Arletys Hernandez, Esq., Michael 21 Ram, Esq., Arthur Robert Weaver, Esq. and the law firms of Morgan & Morgan, P.A. and the 22 23 Brickell IP Group, PLLC is GRANTED, and Counsel is hereby terminated as counsel pro hac vice 24 in this proceeding. 25 DATED: _____ By: 26 Hon. Edward M. Chen **United States District Court** 27 28

MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

CASE NO. 3:21-CV-09528-EMC